Spatio-temporal optical bullets in 2-dimensional fiber arrays and their stability

Alejandro B. Aceves¹, Olga V. Shtyrina^{2,3}, Alexander M Rubenchik⁴, Mikhail P. Fedoruk^{2,3} and Sergei K. Turitsyn^{2,5}

1. Department of Mathematics, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA

2. Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Pirogova 2, 630090, Russia

3. Institute of Computational Technologies, Novosibirsk, Lavrentjeva 6, 630090, Russia

4. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550, USA

5. Aston Institute of Photonic Technologies, Aston University, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK

Long-lived light-bullets fully localized in both space and time can be generated in novel photonic media such as multi-core optical fiber or waveguide arrays. In this paper we present detailed theoretical analysis on the existence and stability of the discrete-continuous light bullets using a very generic model that occurs in a number of applications.

PACS numbers: 42.65.Tg, 05.45.Yv, 42.65.Re, 42.81.Qb

Structuring of light in space and time is a fascinating area of research and technology. In space, light can be localized and structured by using wave-guides that are formed by appropriate variations of the refractive index. Nonlinear optics gives another practical possibility to localize and control light both in space and time. The combination of these two features leads to a rich variety of interconnected methods to structure and manipulate spatial and temporal properties of light. In particular, advances in fiber optics technology over the past 30 years [1, 2], has had an impact not only in numerous highly important applications, but it has also provided a laboratory to display nonlinear phenomena such as modulation instability, solitons formation and interactions, supercontinuum generation, parametric amplification, optical wave turbulence and many others. In space, even earlier, the possibility of balancing diffraction with nonlinear self-focusing so that localized wave-packets could propagate undistorted emerged as an important topic with broad applicability.

The theory of soliton formation and its instability in the 1+2 dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE), $i\partial_z U(x, y, z) + \Delta_T U + \gamma |U|^{2\sigma} U = 0$, proved that for the focusing Kerr nonlinearity (γ positive and wlog equal to 1) and $\sigma = 1$, a 2-d spatial soliton is unstable in that it either collapses (for powers above critical) or diffracts. In this equation, u represents the envelope of the electric field; T stands for the spatial variables (x, y)that are transverse to the direction of propagation z. At the so called critical case ($\sigma d = 2$, d=transverse dimension), collapse is arrested by various additional terms in the model even if they are small. Most common examples are nonlinear losses and nonlinearity saturation. In bulk media, localization both in space and time through collapse under the combined effect of diffraction, anomalous dispersion, and nonlinear refraction leads to formation of small-scale spatio-temporal optical structures pulses -light bullets [3].

A mechanism of practical importance for wave collapse stabilization and the formation of localized solutions (light bullets) is the system discreteness. In particular, if instead of a continuum field we have a discrete model with the corresponding discrete Laplacian, our earlier pioneering work [4, 5] demonstrated in a specific rectangular geometry of waveguide arrays that stable bullets propagate in such model. As it has been the case in other instances, it was years later that this theoretical discovery was demonstrated experimentally. At the time, we witnessed technological advances in photonic crystals and multi-core fibers. Driven by major challenges in optical communication to provide methods and techniques capable to offer transmission capacity above the limitations of the single mode-fiber communication channel [6-8], multi-core fiber (MCF) allows one to implement spatial division multiplexing, enabling a scale-up in transmission capacity per-fiber. Recent experimental demonstration of light bullets (LB) in an array of optical waveguides [9, 10] paves the way for broad applications of light bullets in relatively low cost MCF. As the technology of multicore fibers continues to advance so are the possible applications of such arrays. Note that spatial demultiplexing is also important in emerging applications of multi-core fibers in high power fiber lasers [11, 12].

Here, the use of multi-core fiber allows one to split the total high power into channels with power below any undesirable nonlinear effects. In other words, laser beams in each core may be transported safely being below the threshold of the detrimental nonlinear effects while the total coherently combined power can be high. In this respect, our work represents an important contribution to this application in that it studies for the first time the stability properties of LB under more general coupling schemes. Finally, this new multi-core fiber technology opens up new perspectives for the fascinating research on light bullets (see e.g. [13-22] and references therein) and can be a natural laboratory to study fundamental phenomena such as nonlinear Anderson localization [14], optical rogue wave formation [15], slow light bullets [16] and applications such as delivery of high power/energy light [17, 18] to name some. The MCF is a specific realization of fiber arrays with flexible mutual arrangement of cores. It is important to understand how the mutual arrangement of fibers will affect the existence the LB and their stability, which is the subject of the present paper.

In this Letter we present a thorough analytical description of localized bullets and complete analysis of it sta-



FIG. 1: Square and hexagonal waveguide structures

bility. The numerical calculations support the analytical results and demonstrate the range of applicability of the analytical approach. Finally, we demonstrate the formation of the stable light bullet from the the pulse lunched in one fiber core. Given the importance of LB in nonlinear science and the applications mentioned above and variety of possible implementations of MCF, the main objective of this work is to analyze the optical bullet features in continuous-discrete optical media including their stability under the most general coupling schemes. Our focus is on the generic models that may be applied in various applications. This theoretical work we believe will provide a framework in the design of multicore elements aiming at optimizing desirable and specific applications such as routing, switching and coherent beam combining.

For arrays of waveguides where light propagates mainly in the central (core) region of the individual elements and for which transverse exchange of energy is due to tails of the field overlapping neighbor waveguides, the field is well approximated by a superposition

$$E(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{nm} U_{nm}(z)F(x - x_m, y - y_m)e^{i(kz - \omega t)} + c.c$$

where we assume each waveguide is identical and supports a single mode F. The center of each waveguide is at location (x_m, y_m) . In this case the equations, in the weak coupling approximation, describing the propagation of the envelopes propagating in the fiber at site (n,m) read:

$$i\partial_z U_{nm} + (\underline{\underline{C}}\,\underline{\underline{U}})_{n,m} + \frac{\beta_2^{nm}}{2} \partial_{t^2}^2 U_{nm} + 2\gamma_{nm} |U_{nm}|^2 U_{nm} = 0,$$
(1)

where $(\underline{C} \ \underline{U})_{nm}$ represents the linear coupling functional form at site (n, m), $\beta_2^{nm}(k)$ is the group v and γ_{nm} the Kerr-parameter of each individual core. Here we assume the cores to be identical thus $\beta_2^{nm} = \beta_2, \gamma_{nm} = \gamma$. Introducing dimensionless variables by the transformations $cz \to z, tT \to t, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{c}}U \to U; T = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_2}{2c}}$ leads to the dimensionless equation to be studied in the rest of the paper

$$i\partial_z U_{nm} + (\frac{1}{c}\underline{\underline{C}} \,\underline{\underline{U}})_{n,m} + \partial_{t^2}^2 U_{nm} + |U_{nm}|^2 U_{nm} = 0 \quad (2)$$

We should point out that the effective nonlinearity depends not only on material nonlinearity but on the coupling coefficient, which can be changed by variations in the distance between the cores. The amplitude of the radiation in the cores is limited by the variety of the nonlinear effects- (SBS, SRS, optical damage). The coupling dependence of the effective nonlinearity gives a possibility always to find regimes where our results will be appicable by a suitable change in the effective coefficient. To emphasize how the specific scalings differ from application to application, consider for example the recent work in [23] which considers self-focusing for fibers with 6 or 7 cores, each of $6\mu m$ diameter, $15\mu m$ core-to-core distance, a nonlinear index of refraction of $n_2 = 2.2 \times 10^{-20} m^2/W$ and a signal at a wavelength of 1064nm and the simulations extend to 8cm. If instead the application of multi-core fibers is to build a passive optical network [7], the characteristics of the individual cores, core-to-core separation, propagation distances, powers and wavelength used are quite different, yet the physical principles are the same thus both cases after proper scaling can be modeled to first approximation by the the equation above.

Two cases of interest are (see Figure 1): the uniform square and the hexagonal geometries, for which the respective coupling operators are:

$$(\underline{\underline{C}}\ \underline{U})_{nm}^{square} = c(U_{n-1,m} + U_{n+1,m} + U_{n,m-1} + U_{n,m+1})$$
$$(\underline{\underline{C}}\ \underline{U})_{nm}^{hexagon} = c(U_{n-1,m-1} + U_{n-1,m+1} + U_{n,m-2} + U_{n,m+2} + U_{n+1,m-1} + U_{n+1,m+1})$$

This system has two known conserved quantities; the Hamiltonian $H = \sum_{nm} \int (N(\underline{U};\underline{U})_{nm} - |\partial_t U_{nm}|^2 + |U_{nm}|^4) dt$

and the total power $P = \sum_{nm} \int |U_{nm}|^2 dt$. The waveform of the discrete-continuous light bullets

(that are extrema of the Hamiltonian under fixed total power P) $U_{nm}(z,t) = A_{nm}(t)e^{i\lambda z}$ is given by the equation:

$$-\frac{\delta(H+\lambda P)}{\delta A_{nm}^*} = -\lambda A_{nm} + (\underline{\underline{C}} \underline{A})_{nm} + \partial_{t^2}^2 A_{nm} + 2|A_{nm}|^2 A_{nm} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Highly localized bullet solutions in some limits can be derived using an asymptotic approach. The consideration is that spatially most of the energy is concentrated in one site, (0,0) and small satellite pulses of decreasing amplitude propagate in subsequent layers. Mathematically, this means we seek solutions of the form $U_{nm}(z,t) = A_{nm}(t)e^{i\lambda z} + cc$ with $\lambda >> 1$, where each envelope has an expansion of the form $A_{nm}(t) = A_{nm}^{(0)}(t;\lambda) + A_{nm}^{(1)}(t;\lambda) + A_{nm}^{(2)}(t;\lambda) + ...$



FIG. 2: Peak power (numerical (color) and theory (black solid)) vs the parameter λ in central (a) and closer neighbor waveguides (b) for 33x33 square and 33x65 hexagonal waveguides structures

The analytical derivation of the asymptotic solutions is straightforward [4] and we only present here the expressions for the central core and the first layer for the square and hexagonal arrays, see corresponding indices and notations below.

$$\begin{split} A_{0,0}^{square}(t) &= a_0(t) + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}}) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\cosh(\sqrt{\lambda}t)} + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}}) \\ A_{\pm 1,0}^{square}(t) &= A_{0,\pm 1}^{square} = a_1(t) + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}}) = \\ \frac{c}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} [e^{\sqrt{\lambda}t} ln(1 + e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda}t}) + e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}t} ln(1 + e^{2\sqrt{\lambda}t})] + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{5/2}}) \\ A_{0,0}^{hex}(t) &= a_0(t) + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{3/2}}) \\ A_{0,\pm 2}^{hex}(t) &= A_{1,\pm 1}^{hex} = A_{-1,\pm 1}^{hex} = a_1(t) + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{3/2}}) \end{split}$$

These solutions whose leading order terms a_0, a_1 are obtained in as similar way as in [4], fail to be uniformly valid beyond $|t| \geq O(\sqrt{\lambda})$. In fact at the pulse tails, all waveguides have solutions of the same order $A_{nm} = O(te^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|t|}), t \geq \sqrt{\lambda}$. In what follows, we compare these analytical results with numerically computed general localized LB solutions.

Our numerical studies present solutions describing continuous discrete light bullets of equation (2) where we varied the number of elements in the array, which is an important consideration for practical systems where the number of cores is finite.

We find that the asymptotic expressions $a_0(t)$, $a_1(t)$ fit the numerically found solutions of the system (3) up to the order $O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{3/2}})$. In Figs. 2 and 3 analytical asymptotic and numerical solutions are compared for c = 1 for the rectangular structure with NxN crossed and the hexagonal structure with Nx2N crossed. Figure 2 depicts the dependence of the amplitude of the solution in the central and neighboring cores on the parameter λ . Figure 3 shows comparison of the time domain structure of the



FIG. 3: Comparison of numerical solutions (color) with their analytical approximations (black solid) for different λ in the central waveguide (top) and closer neighbor waveguide (bottom) for 33x33 square (green dashed dotted) and 33x65 hexagonal (red dashed) waveguides structures

light bullets for two different values of λ . A few observations can be made from Figures 2, 3. First, the asymptotic mode fits well the LB for large values of $\lambda > 14$ and it is an even better approximation for the square geometry. The second observation is that the theoretical leading order approximation overshoots the numerical outcome for the central core and under-estimates that of the neighbor sites. This can be corrected by computing in more detail higher order terms, but perhaps more important is that even for values of λ where the approximation is not as good (e.g. $\lambda = 7$), the theoretical approximation represents a good guess for the initial state that will adjust to the LB in propagation. Figure 4 summarizes the spatio-temporal amplitude and phase features of the light bullet and figure 5 shows global characteristics of the LB such as power and the Hamiltonian. We observed that the functional dependence shown here is universal independent of the number of fiber elements (assumed to be large), and is consistent with figure 2a in [9].

Next we investigate the stability of solutions of the form $U_{nm}(z,t) = A_{nm}(t)e^{i\lambda z}$ by linearization $U_{nm}(z,t) = (A_{nm}(t) + f_{nm} + ig_{nm})e^{i\lambda z}$, leading to the system of linear equations

$$-\partial_z g_{nm} = -(\underline{C}\underline{f})_{nm} - \partial_{t^2}^2 f_{nm}$$
$$+\lambda f_{nm} - 6|A_{nm}|^2 f_{nm} = (H_-f)_{nm}$$
$$\partial_z f_{nm} = -(\underline{C}\underline{g})_{nm} - \partial_{t^2}^2 g_{nm}$$
$$+\lambda f_{nm} - 2|A_{nm}|^2 g_{nm} = (H_+g)_{nm}$$

or $-\partial_{z^2}^2 f_{nm} = [H_+(H_-f)]_{nm}$. Defining inner products

for square arrays:

$$\langle \underline{f}, H_{+}\underline{f} \rangle = \sum_{nm} \int f_{nm} (H_{+}f_{nm}) dt = \sum_{nm} \int [|A_{nm}|^{2} (\partial_{t} (\frac{f_{nm}}{A_{nm}}))^{2}] dt + \sum_{nm} \int [(\sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m-1}}{A_{nm}}} f_{nm} - \sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m}}{A_{n,m-1}}} f_{n,m-1})^{2}] dt + \sum_{nm} \int [(\sqrt{\frac{A_{n-1,m}}{A_{nm}}} f_{nm} - \sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m}}{A_{n-1,m}}} f_{n-1,m})^{2}] dt$$

and for hexagonal arrays:

$$\langle \underline{f}, H_{+}\underline{f} \rangle = \sum_{nm} \int f_{nm} (H_{+}f_{nm}) dt =$$

$$\sum_{nm} \int [|A_{nm}|^{2} (\partial_{t} (\frac{f_{nm}}{A_{nm}}))^{2}] dt + \sum_{nm} \int [(\sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m-1}}{A_{nm}}} f_{nm} - \sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m}}{A_{n,m-1}}} f_{n,m-1})^{2}] dt + \sum_{nm} \int [(\sqrt{\frac{A_{n-1,m}}{A_{nm}}} f_{nm} - \sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m}}{A_{n-1,m-1}}} f_{n-1,m})^{2}] dt + \sum_{nm} \int [(\sqrt{\frac{A_{n+1,m+1}}{A_{nm}}} f_{nm} - \sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m}}{A_{n+1,m+1}}} f_{n+1,m+1})^{2}] dt + \sum_{nm} \int [(\sqrt{\frac{A_{n+1,m-1}}{A_{nm}}} f_{nm} - \sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m}}{A_{n+1,m+1}}} f_{n+1,m+1})^{2}] dt + \sum_{nm} \int [(\sqrt{\frac{A_{n+1,m-1}}{A_{nm}}} f_{nm} - \sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m}}{A_{n+1,m-1}}} f_{n+1,m+1})^{2}] dt + \sum_{nm} \int [(\sqrt{\frac{A_{n,m}}{A_{n+1,m-1}}} f_{n+1,m-1})^{2}] dt$$

In both cases and similar to the 1 + 1 + 1 case [24–26], the following properties of the linear operators hold:

(i) $\langle f, H_+ f \rangle \ge 0$ and it is equal to 0 if $f_{nm} = 0$ or $f_{nm} = A_{nm}$.

(ii) There exist some \underline{F} for which $\langle -\underline{F}, H_+\underline{F} \rangle$ is negative and $\langle \underline{F}, \underline{A} \rangle = 0$.

We should point out that while we only discussed two specific geometries, (i) and (ii) will be generally true for a large class of coupling schemes. Properties (i) and (ii) allow us to conclude that the existence of negative eigenvalues of the operator H_{-} is a sufficient condition for instability of the nonlinear state. Furthermore one can show this condition is equivalent to the Vakhitov-Kolokolov criterion on the sign of $\frac{d}{d\lambda}P = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\langle \underline{A}, \underline{A} \rangle$. Eitherway, this proves instability of the left branch of solutions in Fig. 5) (left).

For the highly localized solutions of the previous section, one finds that the power $P(\lambda) = 2\lambda^{1/2} + K/\lambda^{3/2}$, where the constant K depends on the coupling coefficient and the geometry, for the square array K = 5.69and for the hexagonal array K = 8.54. Observe that a minimum is achieved at $\lambda_c = (3K/2)^{1/2}$, so that stability of the localized ($\lambda > 1$) bullet is assured for coupling



FIG. 4: 3D power and phase time distributions vs parameter λ for the central (left) and closer neighbor waveguide (right) for a square waveguides structure



FIG. 5: From left to right: (a) dependence of the total power on the parameter λ ; (b) dependence of the Hamiltonian on the parameter λ ; (c) the Hamiltonian vs the total power. All for 33x33 square (green dashed dotted) and 33x65 hexagonal (red dashed) waveguides structures

strengths below some critical value. This stability criteria is also in agreement with the known stability of one dimensional solitons which in this model corresponds to the limit $K \rightarrow 0$.

So far, we have demonstrated the existence and stability of the LB localized in several fibers. Now we will demonstrate that the LB can be formed during the propagation of an initial pulse launched from the input faces of the array. Specifically, we simulate the propagation of a Gaussian pulse lunched in one fiber for the hexagonal geometry: $U_{0,0}(z = 0, t) = \sqrt{P/(\tau \sqrt{\pi})} \exp[-t^2/(2\tau^2)]$, where P = 7.48 in both cases, and $\tau = 0.72$ (a), $\tau = 0.6$ (b).

Figure 6 summarizes the general picture for an incident pulse in a single fiber: The left panel highlights the propagation for $\tau = 0.72$ (smaller input peak power and broader pulse), where according to our analysis, stable LB do not exist. One can observe fast diffraction (in



FIG. 6: Illustrative depiction of the power spatio-temporal iso-surfaces for the propagation of an input Gaussian pulse $U_{0,0}(z = 0, t) = \sqrt{P/(\tau \sqrt{\pi})} \exp[-t^2/(2\tau^2)]$, with P = 7.48 and $\tau = 0.72$ (a), $\tau = 0.6$ (b) along the array with the hexagonal geometry. Red iso-surfaces of the central core corresponds to $|U_{0,0}(z,t)|^2 = 8$ and blue — for the similar power iso-surfaces at the level 0.16 in the first neighboring cores around the central one.

space) and dispersion (in time) of the pulse. The energy in the central core vanishes after z = 2 being spread through the surrounding layers. For slightly higher peak power $\tau = 0.6$ (right panel) we observe the formation of LB localized in center fiber and the surrounding layer. The temporal oscillation can be explained in the following way. The Hamiltonian is conserved in our system and in general the initial value of H and that of the LB are different. The adjustment of Hamiltonian takes place by the radiation in the outside cores carrying out the Hamiltonian difference. Oscillations indicate the residual differences. For reference, red spatio-temporal iso-surfaces correspond to the central core, blue ones - to the first circle of neighboring cores (with peak power values 50 times less than in the central core). Not shown here is the highly nonlinear regime ($\tau \ll 0.6$) where a localized state propagates in stable fashion. However, one has to consider that at kilowatt power levels, thermal instabilities arise and for short pulses, higher order linear ond nonlinear dispersion effects become relevant and the model has to be adjusted to account for these effects. Finally figure 7 illustrates some of the rich dynamics and fascinating scenarios that can emerge when light propagates in multi-core fibers. The figure shows recurrence (a), symmetry-breaking (b,c,d) which is also discussed in [13], and transitions from bullet formation (e) to dispersive dynamics (f,g) depending on input power and separation distances when multiple pulses are incident at different cores.

In this work we have studied spatio-temporal light bullet propagation in an optical medium consisting of a multicore fiber or a general array of waveguides which as this and other recent work indicates, can be used in a variety of applications. We have put forward a comprehensive theoretical framework based on asymptotic and stability analysis that not only validates the existence of sta-



FIG. 7: A sample of dynamic scanarios for input pulses in single (a) and multiple (b-h) ports. In (a)-(e) bullets emerge, showing recurrence (a) and symmetry breaking (b-d). Above critical separation between cores and or below critical power, light propagates in dispersive mode (f-h)

ble light bullets, representing light localization in space and time, but it demonstrates they are generic and stable for a multitude of multi-core geometries. We also found that they can be formed as a result of the evolution of a sufficiently intense initial pulse launched into the array. We anticipate our results present an opportunity to design array configurations whose topology is suitable for a particular application including preparing a LB for propagation in bulk Kerr-media [27] or in the atmosphere. Our theoretical approach should explain recent work in nonlinear arrays when the model is extended by incorporating higher order temporal effects [28], or in particular, provide an explanation of the observed additional (large) λ dependent time shift shown in figure 7 of [9]. Clearly such dependence would be quite useful for time delay lines and for efficient coherent pulse combining [29]. The second extension that comes to mind is coupling active fibers, where at first approximation we can add to the model linear gain and saturation. Finally we concentrated our work to the study of light bullets in the anomalous regime. A natural extension is to perform similar studies to discrete spatio-temporal vortices and other nonlinear modes. With respect to the normal regime, recent experimental results [30] demonstrate the existence of X-waves in 1d-semiconductor waveguide arrays. Theoretically, X-waves carry infinite energy, so once a proper renormalization of power and the Hamiltonian is done to the work presented here, an improved existence and stability analysis to 1d and 2d arrays in the normal dispersion regime can be implemented.

We acknowledge support of Grant of Ministry of Edu-

- Russell, P. S. J., Journal of lightwave technology, 24(12), 4729-4749 (2006).
- [2] Richardson, D. J., J. Nilsson, and W. A. Clarkson. JOSA B 27, no. 11 B63-B92(2010).
- [3] Y. Silberberg, Opt. Lett. 15, 1282 (1990)
- [4] A. B. Aceves, C. DeAngelis, A. M. Rubenchik and S. K. Turitsyn, Opt. Lett. 19, 329 (1994).
- [5] A. B. Aceves, G. G Luther, C. DeAngelis, A. M. Rubenchik and S. K. Turitsyn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 757, 73 (1995).
- [6] F. Y. M. Chan, A. P. T. Lau, and H.-Ya. Tam, Opt. Express 20, 4548 (2012).
- Zhu B., Taunay T. F., Yan M. F., Fishteyn M., Monberg E. M. and Dimarcello F. V., Opt. Exp. 18(11) 11117-11122 (2010).
- [8] K. Igarashi, T. Tsuritani and I. Morita, "1-Exabit/s x km Super-Nyquist-WDM Multi-Core-Fiber Transmission," ECOC 2014, Mo.3.3.1, (2014).
- [9] S. Minardi, F. Eilengerber, Y. K. Kartashov, A. Szameit, U. Ropke, J. Kobele, K. Schuster, H. Bartelt, S. Nolte, L. Torner, F. Lederer, A. Tunnermann and T. Pertsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 293901 (2010); F. W. Wise, Physics **3**, 107 (2010).
- [10] F. Eilenberger, S. Minardi, A. Szameit, U. Ropke, J. Kobelke, K. Schuster, H. Bartelt, S. Nolte, L. Torner, F. Lederer, A. Tunnermann, and Th. Pertsch, Phys. Rev. A , 84, 013836 (2011).
- [11] B. M. Shalaby, V. Kermene, D. Pagnoux, A. Desfarges-Berthelemot, Barthelemy, A. Popp, M. Abdou Ahmed, A. Voss and T. Graf, Applied Physics B: Lasers and Optics **100(4)**, 859 (2010)
- [12] H-J. Otto, A. Klenke, C. Jauregui, F. Stutzki, J. Limpert and A. Tnnermann, to appear Optics Letters (2014).
- [13] F. Eilenberger, S. Minardi, A. Szameit, U. Rpke, J. Kobelke, K. Schuster, H. Bartelt, S. Nolte, A. Tnnermann, and Th. Pertsch, Opt. Express 19, 23171 (2011).
- [14] T. Pertsch, U. Peschel, J. Kobelke, K. Schuster, H. Bartelt, S. Nolte, A. Tunner-mann, and F. Lederer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 053901 (2004)
- [15] Solli, D. R., Ropers, C., Koonath, P., Jalali, B., Nature,

cation and Science of the Russian Federation (agreement No. 14.B25.31.0003), Russian Science Foundation (grant 14-21-00110) (work of O.V.S. and M.P.F.) and Air Force EOARD (grant FA9550-14-1-0305). This work was partially performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Aceves work was supported by the Army Research under the MURI grant W911NF-11-0297.

450(7172), 1054-1057 (2007).

- [16] A. S. Sukhorukov and Y. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 233901 (2006).
- [17] Michaille, L., Bennett, C. R., Taylor, D. M., and Shepherd, T. J., Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics, IEEE Journal of, 15(2), 328-336 (2009).
- [18] Lushnikov P. M. and Vladimirova N., Opt. Lett. 39, 34293432 (2014).
- [19] A. B. Blagoeva, S. G. Dinev, A. A. Dreischuh and A. Naidenov, IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics QE 27, 2060 (1991).
- [20] D. E. Edmundson and R. H. Enns, Optics Letters 17, 586 (1992); Phys. Rev. A , 51, 2491 (1995).
- [21] F. Wise and P. Trapani, Opt. Photonics News 13, 28 (2002).
- [22] B. A. Malomed, D. Mihalache, F. Wise, and L. Torner, J. Opt. B 7, R53 (2005); .L. Torner, S. Carrasco, J. P. Torres, L. C. Crasovan, and D. Mihalache, Opt. Commun. 199, 277 (2001).
- [23] Tünnermann H., Shirakawa A., Optics Express 23(3), 2436-2445 (2015).
- [24] E. W. Laedke, K. H. Spatschek, and S. K. Turitsyn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1055 (1994).
- [25] E. W. Laedke, K. H. Spatschek, S. K. Turitsyn and V. K. Mezentsev, Phys. Rev. E 52, 5549 (1995).
- [26] V. K. Mezentsev, S. L. Musher, I. V. Ryzhenkova and S. K. Turitsyn, JETP Lett. 60, 829 (1994).
- [27] D. Majus, G. Tamosauskas, I. Grazuleviciute, N. Garejev, A. Lotti, A. Couairon, D. Faccio, and A. Dubietis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 193901 (2014).
- [28] T. X. Tran, D. C. Duong, and Fabio Biancalana, Phys. Rev. A 90, 023857 (2014).
- [29] Marco Kienel, Michael Mller, Stefan Demmler, Jan Rothhardt, Arno Klenke, Tino Eidam, Jens Limpert, and Andreas Tnnermann, Opt. Lett. 39, 11 (2014).
- [30] Yoav Lahini, Eugene Frumker, Yaron Silberberg, Sotiris Droulias, Kyriakos Hizanidis, Roberto Morandotti and Demetrios N. Christoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 023901 (2007)